## Literacy Pioneer: Seminal Works of James Paul Gee

Elizabeth Lasley, Ph.D.
Sam Houston State University—Texas

## Abstract

James Paul Gee's seminal works have led to recommendations that support changes in current pedagogical approaches to learning. Gee's (1999) seminal work An introduction to Discourse Analysis Theory and Method describes the multiple factors, tools of inquiry, necessary for analyzing discourse: language-in-use with context, situated meanings, and cultural models. Gee has transferred his theory and method for discourse analysis to the field of video games, visual media, language, and learning. Gee's (2003) What Video Games Have to Teach Us About Learning and Literacy explains on how well designed video games can enhance learning through effective learning principles. Situated language and learning: A critique of traditional schooling (2004a) includes video games in the sociocultural and sociolinguistic theory of learning and literacy as well as ways to reform school curriculum.

Keywords: social discourse, language, literacy, video games, education

James Paul Gee's seminal works have led to recommendations that support changes in current pedagogical approaches to learning. The journey to Gee's current instructional recommendations begins with his seminal work *An introduction to Discourse Analysis Theory and Method* (1999). The book describes the multiple

factors and tools of inquiry necessary for analyzing discourse: language-in-use with context, situated meanings, and cultural models. Table 1 identifies the continuum of multiple factors Gee designates for qualitative discourse analysis.

Language analysis isn't a new research topic. It has been addressed by researchers focusing on language and literacy development for decades: Vygotsky, Piaget, Chomsky, Goodman, Brown, Bloom, Halliday and many more. So, why consider Gee's work as a relevant contribution to analyzing and understanding language development and discourse? The introduction of technology has altered culture models and therefore situational meanings. As Gee writes, "It can be problematic whether a Discourse today is or is not the same as one in the past...New Discourses emerge and old ones die all the time." (Gee, 1999, p. 21).

Twenty-first century technology and video media has brought a change in theorizing how language is constructed, the development of knowledge and learning, knowledgemaking processes including communication media, social engagement, and grammar. Gee recognizes such changes with a second sociolinguistic discourse analysis key publication, *How to do Discourse A nalysis: A Toolkit* (2010). This book adds clarity to ana-

lyzing discourse based on the theoretical premise explained in An Introduction to Discourse Analysis: Theory and Method (1999, 2005). For example, in An Introduction to Discourse Analysis: Theory and Method (1999, 2005) Gee defined context as "... everything in the material, mental, personal, interactional, social, institutional, cultural, and historical situation" (p. 54). Gee clarifies the term 'context' in *How to do* Discourse Analysis: A Toolkit as: "[T]he physical setting in which the communication takes place and everything in it; the basics, eye gaze, gestures and movements of those present; what has previously been said and done by those involved in the communication; any shared knowledge those involved have, including shared cultural knowledge" (p. 12).

How to do Discourse Analysis: A Toolkit presents a set of "how-to' instructions including integrating principles from applied linguistics, education, anthropology, psychology, and communication. Emphasis is on how language is organized for integrating individuals' actions in social, cultural and political situational contexts. The tools for analyzing discourse include social languages, discourses, intertextuality, and conversations. Table 2 describes four categories and 27 tools used to analyze discourse data.

Once again, as described in *An introduction to Discourse Analysis Theory and Method*, a valid discourse analysis is administered under four essential analysis elements, see table 3. The essential analysis elements contain 17 questions. The questions are connected to the six language tasks – semiotics, word building, activity building, social-cultural situations, political cues and clues, and connection building.

As stated previously, Gee's seminal works are part of his sociolinguistic theory as well as a catalyst for his influence on the field of

discourse analysis in the age of technological media. Gee's theory and method in the field of discourse includes, but is not limited to, language of gaming, literacy gaming, game analysis, digital fiction, characteristic of virtual worlds (community, identity, spatiality), video games, and relevant factors in computing systems (self-representation, player engagement, thinking, designing, and play).

So, how does Gee's theory in discourse analysis apply to literacy development within the field of education? From a sociolinguistic standpoint, Gee's research stimulates the sociolinguist debate between traditional schooling and alternatives to current educational practices. Sociolinguistics involves technology, visual media and video games in the twenty-first century. It exemplifies ways learners participate in social and cultural groups for social, cultural and mental achievement. In other words, access to technology has increased social and mental activity organized through culturally based constructs. This sociolinguistic premise in the twenty-first century brings to mind Vygotsky's theory of cognitive development through language development and play.

Vygotsky's (1978) theory emphasizes the role of social interaction on cognitive development which also involves problem solving and play. Learners acquire knowledge through contacts, interactions, and experiences with others as the first step (interpsychological plane). Learners assimilate and internalize acquired knowledge adding his/her personal value to it (intrapsychological plane). This transition is a transformation of what has been learned through interaction, into personal values. Such transitions require active engagement in

his/her world through the use of tools. The use of tools alleviate learners' dependence on others teaching him/her what to do, how to do it, and what not to do. Vygotsky's theory correlates with Gee's premise for discourse analysis and the use of video games.

Gee interpretation of the use of video games augments, extends and provides a clearer understanding of the nature of learning and literacy that may be missing from the current classroom environments. His connection between theory, method and educational practices are found in the following publications: What Video Games Have to Teach Us about Learning (2003), Literacy Situated Language and Learning: A Critique of Traditional Schooling (2004), and Game-Like Situated Learning: An Example of Situated Learning and Implications for Opportunity to Learn A Report to the Spencer Foundation (2004).

Gee encourages a multi-literacy pedagogical approach that engages learners as designers of meaning and the use of a broad range of learning processes because of technology availability and the leaners use of technological media (Gee, 2003; Gee, 2004a; Gee, 2004b; Gee, 2005; Gee, 2006). What Video Games Have to Teach Us About Learning and Literacy (2003) identifies 36 learning principles incorporated in good games that correspond with research in the cognitive sciences. Emphasis is on empowering learners to direct their own learning. Game designs are challenging yet do-able and players customize the game to their own levels, abilities and adjust the game as their competence develops. Table 4 lists 16 of the 36 learning principles within three categories for learning.

Situated Language and Learning: A Critique of Traditional Schooling (2004) references students' learning to read and learning academic

content such as mathematics, science and social studies. Language in content areas is written in a "specialist variety of language and symbols" which is markedly different from the everyday (or vernacular) language used by children" (p. 15-17). Therefore, the use of video games such as Pokémon is a way in which the "human mind works best when it can build and run simulations of experiences its owner has had – like playing a video game in the mind .....Since learning to read and learning content can never really be separated" (p. 35).

In Situated Language and Learning: A Critique of Traditional Schooling (2004a) Gee explains that games can "exemplify good learning principles in particularly striking ways" (p. 52). Video games provide (1) learners continued voluntary engagement; (2) language and content in schools become "more equitable, less alienating and more motivating" (p. 4); and, (3) affinity spaces designed to "resource people who are tied together not primarily via shared culture, gender, race or class, but by shared interest or endeavor" (p. 83-84). This is evident in Gee's explanation of video games, such as 'Rise of Nations'. Learners construct mental simulations from the simulation of experiences within new worlds.

Gee's (2015) application for discourse analysis of video games is in *Unified discourse analysis: Language, reality, virtual worlds and video*. Gee explains connections between the video game world of avatars and their role in the game and player's everyday role in society. There is a common thread and integrated reference between the mono-modal analysis of discourse and the study of video game text. Gee specifies differences between reading print media and playing a game. Dis-

course analysis involves linguistic syntax and grammar analysis without the context of video games, transitioning to syntax or grammar of games from a visual viewpoint i.e. multimodal discourse analysis. A connection between linguistic syntax and grammar with and without video games reinforces the situated meaning aspect of discourse analysis. The last chapters of *Unified discourse analysis: Language, reality, virtual worlds and video* exemplify the framework for discourse analysis, examples including classroom interactions, and two-sample analysis.

Where does that leave us as educators? We know that literacy, especially emergent literacy, begins in the home. Pre-reading activities must connect with the early reading instruction that occurs in school, formally and informally. We cannot ignore learners' access or lack of access to technology that extends literacy beyond decoding to literacy comprehension, reading for understanding. Gee (2004a) recognizes that cognition is situational and contextual. Situated meanings are established in the organization of patterns of experience, action and subjective interests. Therefore, there needs to be an integrative approach between school and out-ofschool learning experiences including familiar and unfamiliar text including video games. Motivating spaces, such as Pokémon or other video games, appear to facilitate learning opportunities for learners to quickly master complex systems without instruction by a teacher (Gee. 2004a; Gee, 2004b). This is how learners learn and we need to access this aspect of learning within the classroom.

## References

- Gee, J. P. (1999). An introduction to discourse analysis: Theory and method. (1<sup>st</sup> ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Gee, J.P. (2003). What video games have to teach us about learning and literacy.

  New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Gee. J.P. (2004a). Situated language and learning: A critique of traditional schooling. London: Routledge.
- Gee, J.P. (2004b). Game-like situated learning: An example of situated learning and implications for opportunity to learn. A Report to the Spencer Foundation. Madison WI: University of Wisconsin.
- Gee, J.P. (2005). Why video games are good for your soul: Pleasure and learning. Melbourne: Common Ground.
- Gee. J.P. (2006). Are video games good for learning? Technical paper. Madison WI: Games and Professional Simulation Group, University of Wisconsin
- Gee, J. P. (2005). An introduction to discourse Analysis: theory and method. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). London, UK: Routledge.
- Gee, J.P. (2010). *How to do discourse analy-sis: A toolkit*, New York, NY:
  Routledge
- Gee, J.P. (2015). *Unified discourse analysis:*Language, reality, virtual worlds and video games. New York, NY:

  Routledge.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.

**Table 1.** Tools of Inquiry

Areas of Reality	Situated Meaning	Culture Models	Language Tasks	Discourse Analysis
<ul> <li>Reciprocal process</li> <li>Meaning and value</li> <li>Activities</li> <li>Situated identities</li> <li>Relationships</li> <li>Semiotics</li> <li>Connections</li> <li>"D" Discourse vs. discourse</li> <li>Cultural context</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>acquisition</li> <li>Pattern connections</li> <li>Word meaning</li> <li>Social context</li> <li>Specific situation</li> <li>Authorreceiver</li> <li>Point-of-view</li> <li>Reasonable meanings</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Model conflict</li> <li>Model inconsistency</li> <li>Socio-cultural specific</li> <li>Thinking</li> <li>Acting</li> <li>Talking</li> <li>Pattern recognition</li> <li>Social mind</li> <li>Context – intertextual, historical</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Semiotics -         Sign systems         &amp; knowledge</li> <li>Word building</li> <li>Activity         building</li> <li>Social – cultural situations</li> <li>Politics – social groups</li> <li>Connection building</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Convergence</li> <li>Agreement</li> <li>Coverage</li> <li>Linguistic details</li> </ul>

Table 2. Analysis of Categories and Tools

Social Languages -	Discourses - Saying, Do-	Intertextuality - Building	Conversations Theoretical
Language and Context	ing, and Designing	Things in the World – con-	Tools
Language and Content	mg, and Designing	text that shapes language	10015
		text that shapes language	
Tool 1: The Deixis - Deic-	Tool 7: The Doing and Not	Tool 13: Context is Reflexive -	Tool 23: Situation Mean-
tic expressions, speech	Just Saying - What speak-	content either consciously or un- consciously.	ing - Shared experiences
and writing to context.	ers/writers say and what	consciously.	and background
	they try to do.	Tool 14: Significance Building -	knowledge to specific
Tool 2: The Fill-in -		Lexical and grammatical devices	meanings in context and
Knowledge, assumptions	Tool 8: The Vocabulary -	strength or significance	how the context is con-
and inferences brought to	Words used in content,	Tool 15: Activities Building –	structed.
communication.	function, informal and for-	activities for communication in	
	mal words in	social groups, institutions or cul-	Tool 24: Social Lan-
Tool 3: The Making	contexts.	tures support and set	guages - Grammatical
Strange -Listeners/ read-		norms	structures that signal and
ers act as if they were	Tool 9: Why This Way and	Tool 16: Identities Building - So-	enact a given various
outsiders.	Not That Way -	cially recognizable	forms of social language.
	design of messages in cer-	identity/identities the speaker/	
Tool 4: The Subject -	tain ways and not in others.	writer tries to get others to recog- nize	Tool 25: Intertextuality –
Choice in what speakers/		mze	Use of lexical and gram-
writers	Tool 10: The Integration -	Tool 17: Relationship Building –	matical items reference to
choose to say	integrated words or sen-	Use of lexical and grammatical	other "texts" or other
	tences.	nuances building to sustain rela- tionships	styles of language.
Tool 5: The Intonation -		Tool 18: Politics Building – Use of	
Contributions to the	Tool 11: Topic and Themes	lexical and grammatical devices	Tool 26: Figured Worlds –
meaning of an utterance.	- Topic and theme is in a	that build social goods and how	Use of assumed uncon-
	sentence	social goods are distributed in society.	scious
Tool 6: The Frame Prob-	(usual or unusual).	society.	and taken-for-granted pic-
lem - Allows for		Tool 19: Connection Building –	tures, words or phrases of
all aspects of context as	Tool 12: Stanza - Groups of	Use of words and grammar to	listeners & readers.
relevant to the meaning of	idea units clustered	connect or disconnect things or ignore connections between things.	
the data.	into larger chunks of infor-	ignore connections between timings.	Tool 27: Big "D" Dis-
	mation.	Tool 20: Cohesion - How cohesion	course – Use of speaker/
		in text connect to pieces of	listener manipulation of
		information and in what ways.	language and ways of
		Tool 21: Sign Systems and	acting, interacting, think-
		Knowledge Building - Ways in	ing, believing, valuing,
		which words	feeling,
		and grammar honor or degrade specific sign systems.	dressing and using various
		-r o.g. o.jovenio.	objects, tools and technol-
		Tool 22: Topic Flow or Topic	ogies.
		Changes – How the topics of main clauses are chained or switched.	
		Clauses are channed of switched.	

Table 3. Essential Analysis Elements

Convergence	Agreement	Coverage	Linguistic Details
Analysis offers an-	Discourses implicated	Analysis applicable to	Analysis connected to
swers to many or all of	in the data should	related sorts of data.	details of
the questions arising	agree with the social		linguistic structure.
from the set of the 27	language analysis.		
tools.			

Table 4. Gee's 16 out of 36 Learning Principles for Good Games

Empowered Learners	Problem-based Learning	Deep Understanding
<ul> <li>Identity – on-screen character</li> <li>Interaction – communication</li> <li>Cross-functional teams – multiplayer environment</li> <li>Customized - learner experience</li> <li>Agency – environmental control</li> <li>Pleasantly frustrating – ability to overcome</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Risk taking</li> <li>Well-ordered problems to develop and master</li> <li>Challenge and consideration</li> <li>Just in time or on demand – information as needed</li> <li>Explore, Think Laterally, Rethink Goals</li> <li>Production - completing a level</li> <li>Smart tools (in game tools) &amp; distributed knowledge</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Situated meanings – vocabulary by experience</li> <li>Systems thinking – think big picture</li> <li>Performance before Competence – actions required to learn</li> </ul>

## **Author's Biography**

Elizabeth Lasley is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Language, Literacy, and Special Populations. She specializes in the area of Early Childhood. She can be reached via email at eal021@shsu.edu